

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/709,234	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOULTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> J Bret Dennison	<b>Art Unit</b> 2443	

**All Participants:**

(1) J Bret Dennison.

(2) James DeCarlo.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 December 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11,21,35,46

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with James DeCarlo on 12/4/2009. The amendments were agreed upon in order to remove the possibility of the claims being interpreted solely as software. The inclusion of the term "computer" was agreed upon in order to require that a physical server made up of hardware be part of the claimed invention.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/J Bret Dennison/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)